

# Journal of Drug Discovery and Therapeutics

Available Online at [www.jddt.in](http://www.jddt.in)

CODEN: - JDDTBP (Source: - American Chemical Society)

Volume 13, Issue 5; 2025, 40-44

---

## Artificial Intelligence in Cancer Treatment

Lokesh Bairwa<sup>1</sup>, Pradeep Kumawat<sup>1</sup>, Surabhi Raviprakash Singh<sup>2</sup>, Pawan Kumar Basniwal<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Scholars, Sri Balaji College of Pharmacy, Jaipur

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Sri Balaji College of Pharmacy, Jaipur

<sup>3</sup>Professor & Principal, Sri Balaji College of Pharmacy, Jaipur

---

Received: 11-07-2025 / Revised: 10-08-2025 / Accepted: 23-09-2025

Corresponding author: Surabhi Raviprakash Singh

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest.

---

### Abstract:

The expanding role of AI in oncology, covering diagnostic imaging, personalized therapy, drug discovery, patient monitoring, and ethical considerations. The discussion also highlights real-world applications and future directions for integrating AI into global cancer care. AI plays a vital role in today's medical technology, offering innovative ways to identify, manage, and monitor cancer by using data-driven computational models. Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly reshaping oncology by enabling earlier detection, improved diagnostic accuracy, personalized treatment planning, and accelerated drug discovery. This review synthesizes recent advances in machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) applications in cancer treatment, with emphasis on clinical decision support systems, radiomics, pathology image analysis, predictive modeling for therapy response, and integration of multimodal data for precision oncology. We discuss methodological considerations, regulatory and ethical challenges, and future directions including federated learning, explainable AI, and integration into clinical workflows. Representative studies and meta-analyses from 2020–2025 are summarized to provide clinicians and researchers a practical understanding of current capabilities and limitations of AI in cancer care.

**Keywords:** Artificial intelligence; machine learning; deep learning; radiomics; oncology; precision medicine; clinical decision support; immunotherapy prediction.

---

### Introduction

Cancer continues to rank among the most life-threatening diseases worldwide, affecting millions each year despite advances in prevention and therapy. The main barriers to effective cancer control include delayed diagnosis, limited therapeutic precision, and recurrence after treatment. Artificial Intelligence—a technology capable of mimicking human cognitive processes—offers solutions to these long-standing challenges. By

processing enormous volumes of heterogeneous medical data. Cancer remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Traditional diagnostic and therapeutic pathways rely heavily on clinician expertise, histopathology, and population-level evidence. Increasingly available high-dimensional data—including imaging, whole-slide pathology, genomics, and electronic health records (EHRs)—present an opportunity for AI

systems to augment clinical decision-making. Over the past decade, AI methods, particularly deep learning, have demonstrated significant improvements in image-based detection and classification tasks and are being extended to treatment planning, prognostication, and response prediction. This review provides an academic synthesis of the literature on AI applications in cancer treatment, focusing on clinically actionable advances and evidence from 2020–2025.

### **Overview of AI Methods Relevant to Oncology**

Machine learning (ML) encompasses a range of methods that learn predictive patterns from data.

Supervised learning tasks (classification, regression) predominate in clinical applications, while unsupervised learning and reinforcement learning have niche roles. Deep learning (DL), especially convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and transformer architectures, has driven progress in medical imaging and pathology by automatically learning hierarchical features from raw data without handcrafted feature engineering.

Ensemble methods, gradient-boosted trees, and support vector machines remain useful for tabular clinical and genomic data. Important methodological concepts include transfer learning, data augmentation, cross-validation, calibration, and methods to mitigate class imbalance.

### **Imaging and Radiomics for Treatment Planning and Response Prediction**

Radiomics extracts quantitative imaging features from standard-of-care modalities (CT, MRI, PET) to model tumor phenotype and microenvironment. Radiomic signatures have been developed to predict response to chemotherapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy across tumor types. Delta-

radiomics, which models temporal changes in imaging features, shows promise for early response assessment to immunotherapies. Integration of radiomics with clinical and molecular markers improves predictive power. However, methodological heterogeneity, limited external validation, and reproducibility concerns remain barriers to clinical adoption.

Representative studies and systematic reviews demonstrate growing evidence for radiomics in immunotherapy response prediction (Abbas *et al.*, 2023; Kothari *et al.*, 2022).

### **Digital Pathology and Whole-Slide Image Analysis**

Whole-slide imaging (WSI) combined with DL enables automated detection, grading, and biomarker inference (e.g., MSI status) from histopathology. AI can assist pathologists by triaging cases, highlighting regions of interest, and quantifying tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. Recent meta-analyses report strong but variable performance across cancer types; critical issues include stain variation, patch-sampling bias, and the need for large, well-annotated datasets. Explainability and integration with laboratory workflows are active research areas.

Notable work includes meta-analyses of DL for MSI detection and WSI-based diagnostics (Li *et al.*, 2025).

### **Predictive Modeling for Systemic Therapy and Immunotherapy**

Predicting which patients will benefit from systemic therapies — chemotherapy, targeted agents, and immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) — is a central clinical need. AI models leverage multi-omics (genomics, transcriptomics), clinical features, and imaging biomarkers to estimate response probabilities and survival. Radiogenomic approaches aim to correlate imaging

phenotypes with molecular drivers to non-invasively infer actionable mutations or signatures predictive of therapy response. Challenges include the high dimensionality of genomic data relative to sample size, batch effects, and the need for clinically interpretable outputs.

### **Treatment Planning: Radiotherapy and Surgical Assistance**

In radiation oncology, AI assists in automated organ segmentation, target delineation, and dose optimization, reducing planning time and inter-observer variability. DL-based segmentation models are increasingly used in clinical workflows with regulatory cleared software in some jurisdictions.

In surgical oncology, computer vision and robotics-informed systems offer intraoperative guidance, margin assessment, and augmented reality overlays — though most systems remain in early clinical evaluation.

### **Clinical Decision Support Systems and EHR Integration**

AI-driven clinical decision support systems (CDSS) analyze longitudinal EHR data to recommend treatment options, predict adverse events, and identify patients for clinical trials.

Natural language processing (NLP) converts unstructured clinical notes into structured representations that feed predictive models. Successful CDSS require seamless EHR integration, user-centered interfaces, and mechanisms for clinician oversight to prevent automation bias.

An NHS implementation ('C the Signs') demonstrated improved detection rates in primary care settings when AI-assisted risk stratification was used (news reports, 2024).

### **Drug Discovery and Clinical Trial Optimization**

AI accelerates drug discovery by predicting drug-target interactions, optimizing compound design, and repurposing existing drugs. In oncology, AI narrows candidate compounds and predicts synergistic drug combinations. Furthermore, AI helps in patient selection and adaptive trial designs, improving trial efficiency and the likelihood of detecting treatment effects.

### **Ethical, Legal, and Regulatory Considerations**

Widespread AI deployment in oncology raises ethical concerns: data privacy, bias and fairness, explainability, and maintaining clinician skills. Regulatory agencies (FDA, EMA) provide evolving guidance on software-as-a-medical-device (SaMD); rigorous external validation, clear intended use, and post-marketing surveillance are typically required. Federated learning and synthetic data are promising approaches to preserve privacy while training robust models.

### **Challenges and Limitations**

Key barriers to clinical translation include limited generalizability due to dataset bias, the requirement for large annotated datasets, class imbalance, lack of prospective randomized evidence for many applications, and challenges in integrating AI outputs into clinical workflows. Economic considerations, reimbursement, and clinician acceptance also influence adoption.

### **Future Directions**

Future research priorities include robust multi-institutional validation studies, standardized reporting (TRIPOD-AI, CONSORT-AI), development of explainable models, and trials demonstrating improved patient outcomes.

Advances in multimodal transformers, federated learning, and real-world evidence integration will likely accelerate

deployment. Additionally, ensuring equitable access and mitigating biases across populations remain essential.

### Conclusion

AI holds transformative potential in cancer treatment by enabling precision medicine approaches that integrate imaging, pathology, molecular, and clinical data. While impressive technical advances exist, meaningful clinical impact requires rigorous validation, transparent reporting, and careful attention to ethical and regulatory frameworks. Interdisciplinary collaboration between oncologists, data scientists, regulators, and patients will be essential to translate promising AI tools into improved outcomes for people living with cancer.

### References

1. Abbas, E., et al. (2023). Delta-radiomics in cancer immunotherapy response prediction: A systematic review. *European Radiology*, 33(2), 890–905.
2. Bera, K., Schalper, K. A., Rimm, D. L., Velcheti, V., & Madabhushi, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence in digital pathology — new tools for precision oncology. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*, 19(6), 365–386.
3. Bhattacharya, S., et al. (2023). Artificial intelligence in oncology: Current applications and future directions. *Frontiers in Oncology*, 13, 1083991.
4. Bi, W. L., Hosny, A., Schabath, M. B., et al. (2023). Artificial intelligence in cancer imaging: Clinical challenges and future directions. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 73(3), 203–226.
5. Esteva, A., Topol, E. J., & Kelly, C. J. (2022). The future of AI in medicine: Real-world integration and safety considerations. *Nature Medicine*, 28, 1445–1452.
6. Farina, E., et al. (2022). An overview of artificial intelligence in oncology. *Future Science OA*, 8(9), FSO807.
7. Huhulea, E. N., et al. (2025). Artificial intelligence advancements in oncology: A systematic review. *npj Precision Oncology*, 9(1), 55.
8. Kothari, G., et al. (2022). Radiomics in predicting immunotherapy response: A comprehensive review. *Insights into Imaging*, 13, 1–18.
9. Lambin, P., et al. (2021). Radiomics: The bridge between medical imaging and personalized medicine. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*, 18, 749–762.
10. Lee, J. Y., et al. (2024). AI-based clinical decision support in oncology: A scoping review of implementation and impact. *Journal of Clinical Oncology Informatics*, 8(1), 45–58.
11. Li, H., et al. (2025). Deep learning for microsatellite instability detection across cancer types: A meta-analysis. *npj Digital Medicine*, 8, 112.
12. Liao, J., et al. (2023). Artificial intelligence assists precision medicine in cancer. *Frontiers in Oncology*, 13, 998222.
13. Majumder, A., et al. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in cancer diagnostics and therapy. *Indian Journal of Cancer*, 58(1), 40–46.
14. Morin, O., et al. (2022). Artificial intelligence in radiotherapy planning and delivery: A review of applications and challenges. *Seminars in Radiation Oncology*, 32(3), 186–196.
15. NHS 'C the Signs' AI Implementation Report. (2024). AI-based early cancer detection pilot results. *The Guardian*.
16. Park, S. H., & Kwon, H. (2023). Ethical and regulatory perspectives on AI deployment in oncology. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 6, 1180210.
17. Stoean, R., et al. (2023). Machine learning and deep learning in oncology drug discovery: Trends and translational impact. *Drug Discovery Today*, 28(7),

- 103609.
18. Topol, E. J. (2021). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 27, 1129–1134.
  19. van der Velden, B. H. M., et al. (2023). Data sharing and federated learning in oncology: Current progress and challenges. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 5(9), e540–e550.
  20. Zhang, Y., et al. (2024). Transformers and multimodal AI in cancer diagnosis and prognosis. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 43(5), 1887–1899.