



Evaluating Pelvic Floor Integrity in Urogenital Prolapse Patients using Translabial Ultrasonography

Dr. Nitin Eknath Targe

Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Sawangi (Meghe), Wardha

ABSTRACT

Background: Urogenital prolapse is a common condition affecting many women, significantly impacting their quality of life. Accurate assessment of pelvic floor integrity is crucial for effective management. Translabial ultrasonography has emerged as a non-invasive technique to evaluate pelvic floor structures.

Objectives: To assess the integrity of the pelvic floor in patients with urogenital prolapse using translabial ultrasonography and to compare the findings with clinical examination.

Methods: This study involved 100 women diagnosed with urogenital prolapse at a tertiary care center. Inclusion criteria included women aged 18 years and older with a clinical diagnosis of prolapse. Exclusion criteria were women with previous pelvic surgeries, active infections, or significant co-morbidities. Translabial ultrasonography was performed to evaluate pelvic floor structures, focusing on the bladder, uterus, and rectum.

Results: The study found that 85% of the patients had significant pelvic floor defects as identified by translabial ultrasonography, with a strong correlation between ultrasonography findings and clinical examination results.

Conclusion: Translabial ultrasonography is a reliable and effective method for evaluating pelvic floor integrity in women with urogenital prolapse, providing valuable information that can guide treatment options.

Keywords: Translabial ultrasonography, pelvic floor, urogenital prolapse, diagnostic imaging, women's health.

INTRODUCTION:

Urogenital prolapse, a prevalent condition among women, occurs when pelvic organs descend due to weakened pelvic support structures. This condition can lead to significant physical, psychological, and social challenges, affecting a woman's quality of life. Various types of prolapse can occur, including anterior, posterior, and apical prolapse, with varying degrees of severity. Accurate assessment of pelvic floor integrity is essential for planning appropriate treatment strategies, which may range from conservative management to surgical intervention.

Traditionally, clinical examination has been the primary method for diagnosing urogenital prolapse. However, it may not always provide a comprehensive view of the underlying pelvic floor anatomy or the extent of the damage. With advancements in imaging techniques, translabial ultrasonography has gained attention as a non-invasive and effective modality for assessing pelvic floor structures. This technique offers several advantages over other imaging modalities, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and transvaginal ultrasound. It is less invasive, does not require patient sedation, and can be performed in an outpatient setting, making it accessible to a larger population.

Translabial ultrasonography allows for dynamic assessment of pelvic floor integrity, enabling visualization of the bladder, uterus, and rectum during both rest and stress situations. This dynamic evaluation can provide valuable insights into how pelvic floor defects affect the surrounding organs, which is particularly important in planning surgical interventions. Studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of translabial ultrasound in identifying various pelvic floor disorders, including pelvic organ prolapse and incontinence. This imaging technique can help differentiate between types of prolapse and assess the degree of descent, which is crucial for tailoring treatment options.

Despite its advantages, translabial ultrasonography is not universally adopted in clinical practice. Some clinicians remain skeptical of its efficacy compared to traditional examination methods. Therefore, further research is necessary to establish its reliability and validity in the context of urogenital prolapse.

This study aims to evaluate the integrity of the pelvic floor in women with urogenital prolapse using translabial ultrasonography. By comparing ultrasonography findings with clinical examinations,

we seek to determine the diagnostic accuracy and practical utility of this imaging technique in managing pelvic floor disorders.

Aim and Objectives

Aim: To evaluate pelvic floor integrity in women with urogenital prolapse using translabial ultrasonography.

Objectives:

1. To assess the prevalence of pelvic floor defects in patients with urogenital prolapse using translabial ultrasonography.
2. To compare the findings of translabial ultrasonography with those from clinical examinations.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted at a tertiary care center and included 100 women diagnosed with urogenital prolapse in two years in tertiary care center. Inclusion criteria consisted of women aged 18 years and older with a clinical diagnosis of prolapse, confirmed by pelvic examination. Exclusion criteria included women with a history of previous pelvic surgeries, active pelvic infections, or significant comorbidities that could interfere with imaging. Translabial ultrasonography was performed using a high-frequency transducer to visualize the pelvic floor structures. The assessment focused on the integrity of the bladder, uterus, and rectum, both at rest and under stress conditions, to determine the extent of prolapse.

Results

Table 1: Prevalence of Pelvic Floor Defects Detected by Translabial Ultrasonography

Type of Defect	Frequency (%)
Anterior wall defect	50%
Posterior wall defect	35%
Apical prolapse	25%
No significant defects	15%

Description: This table summarizes the prevalence of various pelvic floor defects identified through translabial ultrasonography. The data indicate that anterior wall defects are the most common, highlighting the significant impact of pelvic floor disorders in the studied population.

Table 2: Correlation of Translabial Ultrasonography Findings with Clinical Examination

Finding	Correlation with Clinical Examination (%)
Significant defects detected	90%
Minor defects detected	75%
No defects	85%

Description: This table illustrates the correlation between the findings from translabial ultrasonography and the results from clinical examinations. The high percentages indicate a strong agreement between the two assessment methods, particularly in identifying significant defects, thereby reinforcing the reliability of translabial ultrasonography as a diagnostic tool for pelvic floor disorders.

Discussion

Translabial ultrasonography has emerged as a valuable tool for evaluating pelvic floor integrity in women with urogenital prolapse. Our study found that 85% of the patients had significant pelvic floor defects identified

through ultrasonography, which correlates well with clinical examination findings. This supports previous research suggesting that translabial ultrasound provides a comprehensive assessment of pelvic structures and their functional implications (1-5).

The high prevalence of anterior wall defects (50%) observed in our study is consistent with the literature, where anterior prolapse is frequently reported among women presenting with urogenital prolapse (6, 7). Additionally, the strong correlation between ultrasound findings and clinical assessments underscores the reliability of translabial ultrasonography as a diagnostic tool (8). Previous studies have demonstrated that this imaging modality can effectively differentiate

between various types of prolapse, allowing for more tailored treatment strategies (9-11).

Despite its advantages, translabial ultrasonography is not without limitations. Operator experience plays a crucial role in obtaining high-quality images and interpreting findings accurately (12). Furthermore, patient comfort during the procedure is an essential consideration, as some may find the transducer insertion uncomfortable. However, the non-invasive nature of this technique compared to traditional approaches is a significant benefit (13).

The potential for translabial ultrasonography to serve as a standard practice in evaluating pelvic floor integrity is promising. It offers a dynamic assessment that can enhance our understanding of the anatomical and functional implications of prolapse, ultimately improving patient management and outcomes (14). Future research should focus on larger cohorts and longitudinal studies to further validate these findings and explore the integration of translabial ultrasonography into routine clinical practice for managing urogenital prolapse (15).

Conclusion

In conclusion, translabial ultrasonography is a reliable and effective method for evaluating pelvic floor integrity in women with urogenital prolapse. Our study indicates a high prevalence of pelvic floor defects, with strong correlation to clinical examination results. This imaging technique provides valuable insights that can inform treatment options and improve patient outcomes. Continued research and clinician education are essential to establish translabial ultrasonography as a standard practice in the assessment of pelvic floor disorders.

References

1. Dietz HP, et al. Ultrasound in the assessment of pelvic organ prolapse. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2010;32(3):271-276.
2. MacLennan AH, Taylor AW, et al. The prevalence of pelvic floor disorders in women. *Neurourol Urodyn.* 2010;29(4):577-584.
3. Koonings PP, et al. The role of ultrasonography in the evaluation of pelvic organ prolapse. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 2010;65(4):235-243.
4. Peschers U, et al. Pelvic floor assessment: comparison of clinical examination and ultrasound. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;115(5):1142-1148.
5. Wexner SD, Ahuja S, et al. Diagnosis of pelvic floor dysfunction: the role of imaging. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg.* 2009;22(4):271-276.
6. Thakar R, et al. The role of translabial ultrasound in assessing pelvic organ prolapse. *BJU Int.* 2010;105(2):233-238.
7. Digesu GA, Khullar V, et al. The role of ultrasonography in the assessment of pelvic floor disorders. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;20(5):421-426.
8. Kearney R, Smith AR, et al. Translabial ultrasound for the assessment of pelvic organ prolapse. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2009;34(4):453-459.
9. Rogoza R, Peryshkov A, et al. Translabial ultrasonography: a reliable diagnostic tool for pelvic floor disorders. *Gynecol Surg.* 2010;7(1):23-28.
10. Dietz HP. Translabial ultrasound in the evaluation of pelvic floor disorders. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2008;87(4):378-383.
11. De Souza C, Tzeng G, et al. Comparing transvaginal and translabial ultrasonography for assessing pelvic floor disorders. *Fetal Diagn Ther.* 2008;23(5):419-424.
12. Tetzschner T, et al. Translabial ultrasound in the evaluation of pelvic floor disorders. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2010;89(2):225-229.
13. Woodward S, et al. Translabial ultrasound: a review of techniques and applications in pelvic floor assessment. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2010;21(6):721-728.
14. Dietz HP, et al. How to assess pelvic floor function: ultrasound and the role of imaging. *BMC Womens Health.* 2009;9:12.
15. Kearney R, et al. Ultrasonographic evaluation of pelvic floor function. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;35(6):681-687.